Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE
STATEMENT BY APPLICANT
(Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068		
Filing Date		2003-08-22		
First Named Inventor Argen		ita, et al.		
Art Unit		3733		
Examiner Name PHIL		OGENE, PEDRO		
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1		

					U.S.I	PATENTS			Remove	
Examiner Cite Initial* No Patent Number		Kind Code ¹			Name of Patentee or Applicant of cited Document		Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear			
	1									
If you wis	h to a	l dd additional U.S. Patei	nt citatio	n inform	ation pl	ease click the	Add button.		Add	
			U.S.P	ATENT	APPLIC	CATION PUBL	LICATIONS		Remove	
		Kind Code ¹	Kind Publication Code ¹ Date		of cited Decument		Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear			
	1									
If you wis	h to a	dd additional U.S. Publi		,		,		d button		
				FOREIG	SN PAT	ENT DOCUM	ENTS		Remove	
Examiner Initial*	Cite No	Foreign Document Number ³	Country Code ²		Kind Code ⁴	Publication Date	Name of Patente Applicant of cited Document	e or v	Pages,Columns,Lines where Relevant Passages or Relevant Figures Appear	т.
	1									
If you wis	h to a	dd additional Foreign P	atent Do	cument	citation	information pl	lease click the Add	button	Add	
			NON	I-PATE	NT LITE	RATURE DO	CUMENTS		Remove	
Examiner Initials*	Cite No	Include name of the a (book, magazine, jour publisher, city and/or	nal, seri	al, symp	osium,	catalog, etc), o				Τs

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

	Filing Date First Named Inventor Argent Art Unit Examiner Name PHILO		10647068		
			2003-08-22		
			nta, et al.		
			3733		
			DGENE, PEDRO		
			0101-P02977US1		

1	KCl v BlueSky, Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint dated September 08, 2003. (With Exhibits) BS-121	
2	KCI v. BlueSky, Summary of Appendix in Support of Medela AG's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction or, Alternatively, to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim and for Partial Summary Judgment dated October 24, 2003. (With Exhibits) BS-125	
3	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiff's Response to Medela AG's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Aurisdiction, Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, and Appendix of Exhibits to Plaintiff's Response to Medela AG's Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction, Motion to Dismiss for Failure to State a Claim, and Motion for Partial Summary Judgment, delet November 10, 2005. ISS-129	
4	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs Letter to Honorable Royal Furgeson dated January 3, 2006. (With enclosure) BS-130	
5	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs Reply Brief on Claim Construction, with exhibits, dated April 18, 2005. BS-147	
6	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Responsive Clarm Construction Bnef dated March 28, 2005. (with exhibits). BS-148	
7	KCI v. Bluesky, Declaration of Michael J. Morykwas in support of plaintiffs' responsive claim construction brief dated March 24, 2005 (with exhibits) BS-149	
8	KCI v. Bluesky, Declaration of Wilson C. Hayes in support of plantiffs' responsive claim construction brief dated March 25, 2005 (with exhibits) BS-150	
9	KCI v. Bluesky, Plaintiffs' brief on claim construction dated March 7, 2005 BS-151	
10	KCI v. Bluesky, Medela AG and Medela, Inc.'s opening memorandum regarding construction of the patent claims dated March 7, 2005 (with exhibits). BS-152	
11	KCl v. Bluesky, Bluesky Medical Group Incorporated opening Markman brief regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 4,969,880 and 5,636,643 (with exhibits). BS-153	

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068
Filing Date		2003-08-22
First Named Inventor Argent		ta, et al.
Art Unit		3733
Examiner Name PHILO		OGENE, PEDRO
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1

12	BS-154	
13	KCI v. BlueSky, BlueSky Medical Group Incorporated's Opposition Markman Brief Regarding U.S. Patent Nos. 4,989,880 and 5,536,643, with exhibits. BS-155	
14	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs First Letter Brief from Markman, with exhibits, hearing dated May 26, 2005. BS-160	
15	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs Second Letter Brief from Markman hearing, with exhibits, dated May 31, 2005. BS-161	
16	KCI v. BlueSky, Delendents Medela AG and Medela. Inc.'s Motion for Leave to Amend Designation of Expert Witnesses, with exhibits, dated May 18, 2005. ISS-162	
17	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela, Inc. and Medela AG's Reply in Support of Motion for Leave to File Amended Answers to Third Amended Complaint, Additional Defenses, Second Amended Counterclaims and Jury Demands, with exhibits, dated June 1, 2005. BS-168	
18	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Fourth Amended Complaint, with exhibits, dated June 30, 2005. BS-173	
19	KCI v. Bluesky, KCI's supplemental answers to Bluesky Medical Corporation's first set of interrogatories dated July 6, 2005. BS-174	
20	KCI v. Bluesky, KCI's supplemental answers to Medela, Inc.'s first set of interrogatories dated July 6, 2005. BS-175	
21	KCI v: Bluesky, WFU Health Sciences' supplemental answers to Medela, Inc.'s first set of interrogatories dated July 6, 2005. BS-176	
22	KCl v. Bluesky, Amended answers to third amended complaint, additional defenses, second amended counterclaims and pay demand of Meddel, Inc., dated April 29, 2005 BS-177	

KCI v. BlueSky, Medela AG and Medela. Inc.'s Response on Claim Construction, with exhibits, dated March 28, 2005.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

	Application Number		10647068		
Filing Date			2003-08-22		
First Named Inventor Argen		Argen	nta, et al.		
Art Unit Examiner Name PHILO			3733		
		PHIL	DGENE, PEDRO		
	Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1		

23	KCI v. Bluesky, Answer to third amended complaint, additional defenses, amended counterclaims and jury demand of defendant Medela AG dated February 11, 2005 BS-178	
24	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Preliminary Proposed Constructions of Newly Asserted Claims From the '643 and '081 Patents dated September 12, 2005, (With Exhib) BS-181	
25	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela, Inc., and Medela AG's Motion for entity of Amended Protective Order with Exhibits dated October 7, 2005. BS-185	
26	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Medela AG's Answers to Plaintiffs' Second Interrogatories dated October 7, 2005. BS-187	
27	KCI v. BlueSky, Medela AG's Affidavit of Mitchell D. Lukin Pursuant to Local Rule CV-33(a) dated October 7, 2005. BS-188	
28	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Medela AG's Response to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production of Documents dated October 7, 2005. BS-189	
29	KCI v. BlueSky, Defendant Medela AG's Response to Plaintiffs' Third Request for Production of Documents dated October 7, 2005. BS-190	
30	KCI v. BlueSivy, Defendant Medela, Inc.'s Response to Plaintiffs' Second Request for Production of Documents dated October 7, 2005. BS-191	
31	KCI v. BlueSky, Plaintiffs' Opening Brief on Claim Construction of Disputed Claim Terms From the '843 and '091 Patients with Exhibits dated October 6, 2005. ISS-192	
32	KCI v BlueSky, Medeia, Inc.'s Second Set of Interrogatories To Walte Forest University Health Sciences dated October 7, 2005. BS-193	
33	KCI v BueSky, Medeia, Inc.'s Eighth Set of Requests For Production To Plaintiff's Kinetic Concepts, Inc., KCI Licensing, Inc., KCI USA, Inc., and Wake Forest University Health Sciences dated October 7, 2005. BS-194	

34	KCl v. BlueSky, Order Graffing Joint Motion to Extend Deadlines dated September 30, 2005, ISS-195	

If you wish to add additional non-patent literature document citation information please click the Add button Add

EXAMINER SIGNATURE

Examiner Signature Date Considered Date Considered PEXAMINER: Initial if reference considered, whether or not citation is in conformance with MPEP 609. Draw line through a citation if not in nordromance and not considered. Include copy of this form with next communication to applicant.

1 See Kind Codes of USPTO Patient Documents at www.USPTO.GOV or MPEP 901.04. ² Enter office that issued the document, by the ho-letter code (WIPO Standard ST.3). ³ For Japanese patient document, his endocation of the year of the relign of the Emperor must procede the sensit number of the patent document. ⁴ Afric of document by the perportate reproducts as included on the document under WIPO Standard ST (4) Repasits. ⁵ Applicant is to place a reformative her of the patent of the p

English language translation is attached.

INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT BY APPLICANT (Not for submission under 37 CFR 1.99)

Application Number		10647068		
Filing Date		2003-08-22		
First Named Inventor Argen		ta, et al.		
Art Unit		3733		
Examiner Name PHIL		OGENE, PEDRO		
Attorney Docket Number		0101-P02977US1		

CERTIFICATION STATEMENT

Please see 37	CFR 1.97	7 and 1.98 to	make the	appropriate:	selection(s	s):
---------------	----------	---------------	----------	--------------	-------------	-----

That each item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was first cited in any communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application not more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e/11).

OR

That no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was cited in a communication from a foreign patent office in a counterpart foreign application, and, to the knowledge of the person signing the certification after making reasonable inquiry, no item of information contained in the information disclosure statement was known to any involved designated in 37 CFR 1.56(c) more than three months prior to the filing of the information disclosure statement. See 37 CFR 1.97(e)(s).

- See attached certification statement.
- Fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17 (p) has been submitted herewith.

SIGNATURE

A signature of the applicant or representative is required in accordance with CFR 1.33, 10.18. Please see CFR 1.4(d) for the form of the signature.

•			
Signature	/Niels Haurr/	Date (YYYY-MM-DD)	2007-04-19
Name/Print	Niels Haun	Registration Number	48.488

This collection of information is required by 3T CFR 1.97 and 1.98. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is for life railed by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by \$5 U.S. C. 12.0 and 3T CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 1 hour to complete, including gathering, preparing and submitting the completed application from the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete his form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Tradenary Cfiling. U.S. Operatment of Commence, P. 0. Box 1450, Alexandria, V.S. 2313-1450. D. ONT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, V.S. 2313-1450.

Privacy Act Statement

The Privacy Act of 1974 (P.L. 93-579) requires that you be given certain information in connection with your submission of the stacked form related to a petient application or patient. Accordingly, pursuant to the requirements of the Act, please be advised that (1) the general authority for the collection of this information is 35 U.S.C. 2(b)(2); (2) familishing of the information solicided is civulating; and (3) the principal purpuse for which the information is used by the U.S. Patient and Trademan Coffice is to process and/or cosmisting your submission related to a patient agricultant or patient. If you do not furnish the requested process and/or cosmisting your submission related to a patient agricultant or patient. If you do not furnish the requested process and the process of the process and the process of the pro

The information provided by you in this form will be subject to the following routine uses:

- The information on this form will be treated confidentially to the extent allowed under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. 552) and the Privacy Act (5 U.S.C. 552a). Records from this system of records may be disclosed to the Department of Justice to determine whether the Freedom of Information Act requires disclosure of these record s.
 - A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, in the course of presenting evidence to a court, magistrate, or administrative tribunal, including disclosures to opposing counsel in the course of settlement negotiation.
 - A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Member of Congress submitting a request involving an individual, to whom the record perfains, when the individual has requested assistance from the Member with respect to the subject matter of the record.
 - A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a contractor of the Agency having need for the information in order to perform a contract. Recipients of information shall be required to comply with the requirements of the Privacy Act of 1974, as amended, pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(m).
 - A record related to an International Application filed under the Patent Cooperation Treaty in this system of records
 may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the International Bureau of the World Intellectual Property Organization, pursuant
 to the Patent Cooperation Treaty.
 - A record in this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to another federal agency for purposes of National Security review (35 U.S.C. 181) and for review pursuant to the Atomic Energy Act (42 U.S.C. 218(c)).
 - 7. A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the Administrator, General Services, or hisher designed, uturing an insection of records conducted by GSA as part of that agency's responsibility to recommend improvements in records management practices and programs, under authority of 4d U.S.C. 2904 and 2905. Such disclosure shall be made in accordance with the GSA requisions governing inseption of records for this purpose, and any other relevant (i.e., GSA or Commerce) directive. Such disclosure shall not be used to make determinations about individuals.
- A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to the public after either publication of the
 application pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 12(2) or issuance of a patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 151. Further, a record may be
 disclosed, subject to the limitations of 37 CFR 1.14, as a routine use, to the public if the record was filled in application
 which became abandoned or in which the proceedings were terminated and which application is referenced by either a
 published application, an application open to public inspections or as issued patent.
 - A record from this system of records may be disclosed, as a routine use, to a Federal, State, or local law enforcement agency, if the USPTO becomes aware of a violation or potential violation of law or regulation.